

# PLUS/MINUS SELMER GROUPS AND ANTICYCLOTOMIC $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -EXTENSIONS

AHMED MATAR

ABSTRACT. Let  $E/\mathbb{Q}$  be an elliptic curve,  $p$  a prime and  $K_\infty/K$  the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of a quadratic imaginary field  $K$ . In this paper we prove two theorems. The first theorem shows that there is an intimate relationship between the  $\Lambda$ -corank of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$ , the  $\Lambda$ -coranks of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\pm}(E/K_\infty)$  and the vanishing of  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty])$ . The second theorem proves under suitable conditions that the Pontryagin dual of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\pm}(E/K_\infty)$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank one and  $\mu$ -invariant zero.

## 1. INTRODUCTION

Let  $K$  be an imaginary quadratic field with discriminant  $d_K \neq -3, -4$  whose class number we will denote by  $h_K$ . Let  $p$  be an odd prime,  $K_\infty/K$  be the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension of  $K$ ,  $\Gamma = \text{Gal}(K_\infty/K)$  and  $K_n$  the unique subfield of  $K_\infty$  containing  $K$  such that  $\text{Gal}(K_n/K) \cong \mathbb{Z}/p^n\mathbb{Z}$ . Denote  $\Gamma_n = \Gamma^{p^n}$ ,  $G_n = \Gamma/\Gamma_n$  and  $R_n = \mathbb{F}_p[G_n]$ .

Let  $\Lambda = \mathbb{Z}_p[[\Gamma]]$  be the Iwasawa algebra attached to  $K_\infty/K$ . Fixing a topological generator  $\gamma \in \Gamma$  allows us to identify  $\Lambda$  with the power series ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[[T]]$ . Also consider the “mod  $p$ ” Iwasawa algebra  $\bar{\Lambda} = \Lambda/p\Lambda = \mathbb{F}_p[[T]]$ .

Now let  $E$  be an elliptic curve of conductor  $N$  defined over  $\mathbb{Q}$  with a modular parametrization  $\pi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$ . Throughout the paper we assume that  $E$  has good supersingular reduction at  $p$ . Let  $S$  be a finite set of primes of  $K$  containing all the primes dividing  $pN$ . We let  $K_S$  be the maximal extension of  $K$  unramified outside  $S$ . Suppose now that  $L$  is a field with  $K \subseteq L \subseteq K_S$ . We let  $G_S(L) = \text{Gal}(K_S/L)$  and  $S_L$  be the set of primes of  $L$  above those in  $S$ . For simplicity, we will denote  $S_{K_n}$  by  $S_n$  and  $S_{K_\infty}$  by  $S_\infty$ .

We now define the Selmer groups we will work with. For any  $n$  and  $m$  we let  $\text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n)$  denote the  $p^m$ -Selmer group of  $E$  over  $K_n$  defined by

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow H^1(G_S(K_n), E[p^m]) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S_n} H^1(K_{n,v}, E)[p^m].$$

We also define the  $p^\infty$ -Selmer group of  $E$  over  $K_n$  as  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n) = \varinjlim_m \text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n)$ .

Finally we define the  $p^m$ -Selmer group and the  $p^\infty$ -Selmer group of  $E$  over  $K_\infty$  as  $\text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n)$  and  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)$ .

Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K_n$  above  $p$ . Following Kobayashi [14], we define the following subgroups of  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$

$$E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) := \{x \in E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \mid \text{Tr}_{n/m+1}(x) \in E(K_{m,\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for even } m : 0 \leq m < n\}$$

$$E^-(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) := \{x \in E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \mid \text{Tr}_{n/m+1}(x) \in E(K_{m,\mathfrak{p}}) \text{ for odd } m : 0 \leq m < n\}.$$

Following Kobayashi [14] and Iovita-Pollack [12], we define

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_p(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E^\pm(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_p^\pm(E/K_n)$$

Also we define

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \prod_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E^\pm(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

$$\text{and } \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty) = \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n)$$

Finally, we need the definition of the fine  $p^\infty$ -Selmer group of  $E/K_\infty$ . This group is defined as

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow H^1(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) \longrightarrow \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(K_{\infty,v}, E[p^\infty])$$

Now for any  $n$ , let  $S_p(E/K_n) := \varprojlim^m \text{Sel}_{p^m}(E/K_n)$  (inverse limit with respect to maps induced by multiplication by  $p$ ). Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K_n$  above  $p$ . We write  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})_p := \varprojlim^m E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})/p^m$  for the  $p$ -adic completion of  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$  and define  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})_p := \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})_p$ . By the definition of the Selmer group, for any prime  $\mathfrak{p}$  of  $K_n$  dividing  $p$ , there is a natural map  $\rho_{n,\mathfrak{p}} : S_p(E/K_n) \rightarrow E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})_p$ . These maps induce a map  $\rho_{n,p} : S_p(E/K_n) \rightarrow E(K_{n,p})_p$ . By abuse of notation, if  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $p$ , we have for any  $n$  a map  $\rho_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$ .

In what follows, if  $A$  is a Hausdorff, abelian locally-compact topological group we denote its Pontryagin dual by  $A^{\text{dual}}$ . Also, as is standard, we will denote a pseudo-isomorphism from  $\Lambda$ -modules  $A$  to  $B$  by  $A \sim B$ . Finally, for any rational prime  $v$  we will let  $c_v$  be the Tamagawa number of  $E$  at  $v$ .

Theorems A and B below rely on the results of Iovita-Pollack [12]. In order to invoke their results we will need to assume that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and that any prime of  $K$  above  $p$  is totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . For theorem B we will replace this second condition by the slightly stronger condition that  $p$  does not divide the class number of  $K$ . This condition that  $p \nmid h_K$  is used in [17] prop 3.3 and this proposition is needed for the proof of theorem B.

Theorem A below shows that there is an intimate relationship between the  $\Lambda$ -corank of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$ , the  $\Lambda$ -coranks of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)$  and the  $\Lambda$ -corank of  $R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$ . Another thing the theorem shows is that the growth formula  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)) = p^n + O(1)$  follows from both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  having  $\Lambda$ -rank one. This last statement was proven in [12] prop. 7.1 under the extra condition that  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) = 0$ . We remove this condition.

**Theorem A.** *Assume that  $p \geq 5$ , all primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and both primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . The following are equivalent*

- (a)  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank two
- (b) Both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one
- (c)  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)) = p^n + O(1)$  and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,p}) = p^n + O(1)$
- (d)  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) = 0$
- (e)  $R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion

Under some conditions Çiperiani [4] has shown that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank two and Longo-Vigni [15] have shown that both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one. If we impose the extra condition in [4] that both primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ , then the above theorem shows that in this case the results of Çiperiani and Longo-Vigni are equivalent.

By adapting the proof of the ordinary case in [17] to the plus/minus Selmer groups we will show

**Theorem B.** *Assume the following*

- (i) All the primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$
- (ii)  $p$  does not divide  $6h_K\varphi(Nd_K) \cdot \prod_{\ell|N} c_\nu$
- (iii)  $p$  does not divide the number of geometrically connected components of the kernel of  $\pi_* : J_0(N) \rightarrow E$ .

Then both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one and  $\mu$ -invariant zero

Under the conditions of theorem B, theorem B gives that both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one and theorems A and B together imply that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank two. This gives a different proof to the results of Longo-Vigni [15] and Çiperiani [4]. Both of these cited results are proven under slightly less restrictive conditions. The advantage of imposing our extra conditions is that we also show that both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\mu$ -invariant zero. This result is analogous to theorem 3.4 of [18] which shows that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\mu$ -invariant zero in the case where  $E$  has good ordinary reduction at  $p$ .

It is an interesting question whether both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\mu$ -invariant zero implies that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\mu$ -invariant zero as well. As proposition 2.2 in the next section shows, we have a map  $j : \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty) \oplus \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$ . One can attempt to use this map to relate the  $\mu$ -invariants, however an understanding of the cokernel of the map  $j$  is needed. The author has not been able to get a handle on the  $\mu$ -invariant of the Pontryagin dual of coker  $j$  and hence has been unable to deduce that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\mu$ -invariant zero.

In relation to the vanishing of the  $\mu$ -invariant of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$ , we would like to mention the following: in [18] the author conjectured (conjecture B) that  $R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  is cofinitely generated over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ . Assuming that  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) = 0$ , it is interesting to note that this conjecture is equivalent to  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  having  $\mu$ -invariant zero. This equivalence follows from the main theorem of [19] if one notes that  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty]$  is finite. The finiteness of  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty]$  can be shown by taking a prime  $q \nmid pN$  that is inert in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . The prime  $\mathfrak{q}$  of  $K$  above  $q$  splits completely in  $K_\infty/K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{Q}$  be some prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $\mathfrak{q}$ . Since the residue field  $\mathbf{K}_{\infty, \mathfrak{Q}}$  of  $K_{\infty, \mathfrak{Q}}$  is finite and  $E(K_{\infty, \mathfrak{Q}})[p^\infty]$  injects into  $E(\mathbf{K}_{\infty, \mathfrak{Q}})[p^\infty]$ , the finiteness of  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty]$  follows.

*Remark.* Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K_n$  above  $p$  and let  $\hat{E}$  be the formal group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ . Then  $\hat{E}(K_{n, \mathfrak{p}})$  is isomorphic to  $E_1(K_{n, \mathfrak{p}}) = \ker(E(K_{n, \mathfrak{p}}) \rightarrow \bar{E}(\mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}))$  where  $\mathbf{K}_{\mathfrak{p}}$  is

the residue field of  $K_{n,p}$ . We then define  $\hat{E}^\pm(K_{n,p}) \cong E_1(K_{n,p}) \cap E^\pm(K_{n,p})$ . Since  $E$  has supersingular reduction at  $p$ , therefore  $\bar{E}(\mathbf{K}_p)[p] = 0$ . It follows that we have an isomorphism  $\hat{E}^\pm(K_{n,p}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \cong E^\pm(K_{n,p}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . The plus/minus Selmer groups defined in [12] are defined as  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n)$  but with  $E^\pm(K_{n,p}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$  replaced with  $\hat{E}^\pm(K_{n,p}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p$ . By what we just explained, it follows that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n)$  is identical to the Selmer group defined in [12].

## 2. PROOF OF THEOREM A

In this section we prove theorem A in the introduction. First we make a few definitions. Let  $\Phi_n(X) = \sum_{i=0}^{p^n-1} X^{ip^{n-1}}$  be the  $p^n$ -th cyclotomic polynomial and  $\omega_n(X) = (X+1)^{p^n} - 1$ . Also set

$$\tilde{\omega}_n^+ = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ m \text{ even}}} \Phi_m(X+1), \quad \tilde{\omega}_n^- = \prod_{\substack{1 \leq m \leq n \\ m \text{ odd}}} \Phi_m(X+1), \quad \tilde{\omega}_0^\pm = 1$$

$\omega_n^+ = X \cdot \tilde{\omega}_n^+$  and  $\omega_n^- = X \cdot \tilde{\omega}_n^-$ . Note that  $\omega_n = X \cdot \tilde{\omega}_n^+ \cdot \tilde{\omega}_n^-$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  we define

$$q_n = \begin{cases} p^n - p^{n-1} + p^{n-2} - p^{n-3} + \cdots + p^2 - p + 1 & \text{if } 2|n \\ p^n - p^{n-1} + p^{n-2} - p^{n-3} + \cdots + p - 1 + 1 & \text{if } 2 \nmid n \end{cases}$$

$q_n$  is the degree of  $\omega_n^+$  or  $\omega_n^-$  depending on whether  $n$  is even or odd, respectively. We also define

$$0 \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow \prod_{p|p} \frac{H^1(K_{n,p}, E[p^\infty])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

Let  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty) := \varinjlim_n \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)$

For any  $n$  we write  $\text{Tr}_{n/n-1}$  for the trace  $\text{Tr}_{K_n/K_{n-1}}$  or  $\text{Tr}_{K_{n,v}/K_{n-1,v}}$  where  $v$  is a prime of  $K_n$ . It will be clear to the reader whether we mean the global or local trace.

We now define our Heegner points. We fix a modular parametrization  $\pi : X_0(N) \rightarrow E$  which maps the cusp  $\infty$  of  $X_0(N)$  to the origin of  $E$  (see [26] and [3]). If we assume that every prime dividing  $N$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ , then it follows that we can choose an ideal  $\mathcal{N}$  such that  $\mathcal{O}_K/\mathcal{N} \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$ . Let  $m$  be an integer that is relatively prime to  $N$  and let  $\mathcal{O}_m = \mathbb{Z} + m\mathcal{O}_K$  be the order of conductor  $m$  in  $K$ . The ideal  $\mathcal{N}_m = \mathcal{N} \cap \mathcal{O}_m$  satisfies  $\mathcal{O}_m/\mathcal{N}_m \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z}$  and therefore the natural projection of complex tori:

$$\mathbb{C}/\mathcal{O}_m \rightarrow \mathbb{C}/\mathcal{N}_m^{-1}$$

is a cyclic  $N$ -isogeny, which corresponds to a point of  $X_0(N)$ . Let  $\alpha[m]$  be its image under the modular parametrization  $\pi$ . From the theory of complex multiplication we have that  $\alpha[m] \in E(K[m])$  where  $K[m]$  is the ring class field of  $K$  of conductor  $m$ .

We assume that all primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . This implies that  $K_\infty/K$  and  $K[1]/K$  are linearly disjoint ( $K[1]$  is the Hilbert class field of  $K$ ). It follows from this that for any  $n \geq 1$  that  $K[p^{n+1}]$  is the ring class field of

minimal conductor that contains  $K_n$ . For any  $n \geq 0$ , we now define  $\alpha_n \in E(K_n)$  to be the trace from  $K[p^{n+1}]$  to  $K_n$  of  $\alpha[p^{n+1}]$ .

Let  $p \geq 5$  be a prime. Assume that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . From section 3.3 of [23] it follows that

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{1/0}(\alpha_1) = (a_p - (a_p - 2)^{-1}(p - 1))\alpha_0 \quad (1)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1/n}(\alpha_{n+1}) = a_p\alpha_n - \alpha_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad (2)$$

Since  $E$  has supersingular reduction at  $p$  and  $p \geq 5$ ,  $a_p = 0$  so therefore we have

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{1/0}(\alpha_1) = \frac{p-1}{2}\alpha_0 \quad (3)$$

$$\mathrm{Tr}_{n+1/n}(\alpha_{n+1}) = -\alpha_{n-1} \quad \text{for } n \geq 1 \quad (4)$$

**Lemma 2.1.** *Assume that  $p \geq 5$ , all primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and all primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  we have  $\omega_{2n}^+\alpha_{2n} = 0$  and  $\omega_{2n+1}^-\alpha_{2n+1} = 0$*

*Proof.* From equation (4) above we have  $\omega_{2n}^+\alpha_{2n} = (\gamma-1)\tilde{\omega}_{2n}^+\alpha_{2n} = (\gamma-1)\pm\alpha_0 = 0$ . A similar proof using also equation (3) shows that  $\omega_{2n+1}^-\alpha_{2n+1} = 0$   $\square$

We will need the following three intermediate results before proving theorem A

**Proposition 2.2.** *Assume that  $p \geq 5$ ,  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and all primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . For any  $n \geq 0$  we have exact sequences*

$$0 \longrightarrow K \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n) \oplus \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_n) \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow C \longrightarrow 0$$

$$0 \longrightarrow K^{\omega_n^\pm=0} \xrightarrow{i} \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^+=0} \oplus \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^-=0} \xrightarrow{j} \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n) \longrightarrow C' \longrightarrow 0$$

where  $i$  is the diagonal embedding,  $j$  is  $(x, y) \mapsto x - y$ ,  $K = \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)$  and  $C, C'$  are finite.

*Proof.* The description of the kernels of the maps  $j$  above follow from [12] prop. 4.11 and lemma 4.13. Clearly, the finiteness of  $C$  will follow from the finiteness of  $C'$ . The latter is essentially proposition 10.1 of Kobayashi's paper [14]. Given  $P \in \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)_{\mathrm{div}}$  Kobayashi finds  $P^+ \in \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n)$  and  $P^- \in \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_n)$  such that  $j(P^+, P^-) = P$ . We only need to show that  $\omega_n^+P^+ = 0$  and  $\omega_n^-P^- = 0$ . For a suitably chosen  $Q \in \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)$ ,  $A, B \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$  Kobayashi defines  $P^+ = A(\gamma-1)\tilde{\omega}_n^-Q$  and  $P^- = B(\gamma-1)\omega_n^+Q$ . Since  $\omega_n^+\tilde{\omega}_n^- = \gamma^{p^n} - 1$  and  $(\gamma^{p^n} - 1)Q = 0$  therefore we see that  $\omega_n^+P^+ = 0$ . Similarly one shows that  $\omega_n^-P^- = 0$ .  $\square$

**Proposition 2.3.** *Assume that  $p \geq 5$ , all primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and all primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $p$ . Then we have  $\mathrm{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\mathrm{img} \rho_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \geq p^n + O(1)$*

*Proof.* To prove this proposition we adapt Bertolini's strategy ([2] prop. 5.2 and theorem 5.3) from the ordinary case to the supersingular case. We will need to consider the Heegner points  $\alpha_{2n}$  and  $\alpha_{2n+1}$  separately. For any  $n$ , let  $E(K_n)_p := E(K_n) \otimes \mathbb{Z}_p$  be the  $p$ -adic completion of  $E(K_n)$ . Denote by  $\mathcal{E}(E/K_n)_p$  the submodule  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]\alpha_n$  of  $E(K_n)_p$  spanned by the group ring  $\mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]$  acting on  $\alpha_n$ . We claim that for any  $n$  the map  $\text{res}_n : E(K_n)_p \rightarrow E(K_{n+1})_p$  induced by inclusion is injective. To see this, we show that for any  $m$  the map induced by inclusion  $\text{res}_{n,p^m} : E(K_n)/p^m \rightarrow E(K_{n+1})/p^m$  is injective. Suppose that  $P \in E(K_n)$  satisfies  $p^m Q = P$  for some  $Q \in E(K_{n+1})$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(K_{n+1}/K_n)$ . Then we have  $p^m(\sigma(Q) - Q) = \sigma(p^m Q) - p^m Q = \sigma(P) - P = 0$ . But by [12] lemma 2.1 we have  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma(Q) - Q = 0$  which implies that  $Q \in E(K_n)$ . This shows that  $\text{res}_{n,p^m}$  is injective which, in turn, shows that  $\text{res}_n$  is injective.

Now consider the restriction of  $\text{res}_n$  to  $\mathcal{E}(E/K_n)$   $\widetilde{\text{res}}_n : \mathcal{E}(E/K_n) \rightarrow \text{res}_n(\mathcal{E}(E/K_n))$ . As  $\text{res}_n$  is injective,  $\widetilde{\text{res}}_n$  is an isomorphism. We now consider the Heegner points  $\alpha_{2k}$ . The norm relation (4) shows that for any  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}(\mathcal{E}(E/K_{2n})) = \text{img } \widetilde{\text{res}}_{2n-2}$  and so  $\widetilde{\text{res}}_{2n-2}^{-1} \circ \text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}$  defines a surjective map  $\mathcal{E}(E/K_{2n}) \rightarrow \mathcal{E}(E/K_{2n-2})$ . Using these maps, we define  $\mathcal{E}^\dagger(E/K_\infty)_p^+ := \varprojlim \mathcal{E}(E/K_{2n})_p$ . This is a cyclic  $\Lambda$ -module which is nonzero if and only if for some  $n$   $\alpha_{2n}$  has infinite order (note that  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = 0$  by [12] lemma 2.1). Using the results of Cornut [6] and Cornut-Vatsal [7] it can be shown as in [17] prop. 4.1 that  $\alpha_{2n}$  has infinite order for some  $n$ . Hence  $\mathcal{E}^\dagger(E/K_\infty)_p^+$  is a nonzero cyclic  $\Lambda$ -module.

We now turn to the local setting. Let  $\hat{E}$  be the formal group of  $E/\mathbb{Q}$ . Combining Mattuck's theorem, [12] lemma 2.1 and [25] IV prop. 2.3, we see that  $\hat{E}(K_{n,p})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module. Therefore  $\varprojlim_m \hat{E}(K_{n,p})/p^m = \hat{E}(K_{n,p})$ . Now  $\hat{E}(K_{n,p})$  is isomorphic to  $E_1(K_{n,p}) = \ker(E(K_{n,p}) \rightarrow \bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p))$ . Since  $E$  has supersingular reduction at  $p$ , therefore  $\bar{E}(\mathbb{F}_p)[p] = \{0\}$ . This implies that  $\hat{E}(K_{n,p}) = \varprojlim_m \hat{E}(K_{n,p})/p^m = E(K_{n,p})_p$ . Define  $\hat{E}^\pm(K_{n,p}) \cong E_1(K_{n,p}) \cap E^\pm(K_{n,p})$  where  $E^\pm(K_{n,p})$  is defined as in the introduction. Since  $\hat{E}(K_{n,p})$  is a free  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -module so are both  $\hat{E}^\pm(K_{n,p})$ .

Theorem 4.5 of [12] shows that there exist  $d_n \in \hat{E}(K_{n,p})$  such that  $\text{Tr}_{n+1/n}(d_{n+1}) = -d_{n-1}$  (for  $n \geq 1$ ) and  $\text{Tr}_{1/0}(d_1) = u \cdot d_0$  for some  $u \in \mathbb{Z}_p^\times$ . From (3) and (4) we see that the norm relations for the Heegner points  $\alpha_n$  and the points  $d_n$  are identical. Lemma 4.13 of [12] shows that for any  $n \geq 0$  we have  $\hat{E}^+(K_{2n,p}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]d_{2n}$  and  $\hat{E}^-(K_{2n+1,p}) = \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]\alpha_{2n+1}$ . We shall work with  $\hat{E}^+$  now. By what we just mentioned, we see as in the global case, that we may form the inverse limit  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+ := \varprojlim \hat{E}^+(K_{2n,p})$ .

CLAIM:  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module of rank 1 such that for any  $n$  the natural map  $\pi_{2n}^+ : \hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+/\omega_{2n}^+ \rightarrow \hat{E}^+(K_{2n,p})$  is an isomorphism (this map exists because of the analog of lemma 2.1 for the points  $d_{2n}$ ).

To see this, let  $n \geq 0$ . Since the maps defining the inverse limit  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+$  are surjective, therefore  $\pi_{2n}^+$  is surjective. Prop. 4.15(3) of [12] shows that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\hat{E}^+(K_{2n,p})) = \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\Lambda/\omega_{2n}^+) = q_{2n}$ . Therefore from the surjectivity of  $\pi_{2n}^+$ , it follows that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+/\omega_{2n}^+)$  is unbounded and hence  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+$  is a free  $\Lambda$ -module of rank 1 since it is cyclic and not torsion. The injectivity of  $\pi_{2n}^+$  follows from comparing the  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -ranks of the domain and codomain of  $\pi_{2n}^+$ .

Consider the localization map  $\tilde{\rho}_{2n,p} : \mathcal{E}(E/K_{2n})_p \rightarrow E(K_{2n,p})_p$ . Lemma 2.1 implies that  $\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{2n,p} \subseteq \hat{E}^+(K_{2n,p})$ . Therefore we get a map  $\tilde{\rho}_{\infty,p}^+ : \mathcal{E}^\dagger(E/K_\infty)_p^+ \rightarrow \hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+$ . Since  $\mathcal{E}^\dagger(E/K_\infty)_p^+$  is a cyclic  $\Lambda$ -module and  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+$  is torsion-free, therefore  $\tilde{\rho}_{\infty,p}^+$  is injective if and only if it is nonzero. This is equivalent to saying that  $\tilde{\rho}_{2n,p}$  is nonzero for some  $n$ . As explained before,  $\alpha_{2n}$  has infinite order for some  $n$  and hence  $\tilde{\rho}_{2n,p}(\alpha_{2n})$  is nonzero. This shows that  $\tilde{\rho}_{\infty,p}^+$  is injective.

We now determine  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{2n,p})$ . Fix an isomorphism  $\hat{E}^\dagger(K_{\infty,p})^+ \cong \Lambda$ . As  $\tilde{\rho}_{\infty,p}^+$  is injective, we may identify  $\mathcal{E}^\dagger(E/K_\infty)_p^+$  with  $f\Lambda$  for some nonzero  $f \in \Lambda$ . From the claim above we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{2n,p}) &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda + \omega_{2n}^+ \Lambda / \omega_{2n}^+ \Lambda) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda / f\Lambda \cap \omega_{2n}^+ \Lambda) \\ &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda / \omega_{2n}^+ f\Lambda) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda \cap \omega_{2n}^+ \Lambda / \omega_{2n}^+ f\Lambda) \end{aligned}$$

Since  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda / \omega_{2n}^+ f\Lambda) = q_{2n}$  and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(f\Lambda \cap \omega_{2n}^+ \Lambda / \omega_{2n}^+ f\Lambda)$  is bounded therefore we get that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{2n,p}) = q_{2n} + O(1)$

In an almost identical fashion, one considers the Heegner points  $\alpha_{2n+1}$  and the group  $\hat{E}^-(K_{2n+1,p})$  and constructs the appropriate inverse limits. If one then defines  $\tilde{\rho}_{2n+1,p}$  analogously as above, one can show that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{2n+1,p}) = q_{2n+1} + O(1)$ .

We can now finally complete the proof. Let  $n \geq 1$ . Define  $B := \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]\alpha_n$ ,  $C := \mathbb{Z}_p[G_n]\alpha_{n-1}$  and let  $A := B + C \subseteq E(K_n)_p$ . Then we have

$$\begin{aligned} \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\rho_{n,p}(A)) &= \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{n,p}) + \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \tilde{\rho}_{n-1,p}) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\rho_{n,p}(B) \cap \rho_{n,p}(C)) \\ &= q_n + O(1) + q_{n-1} + O(1) - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\rho_{n,p}(B) \cap \rho_{n,p}(C)) \\ &= p^n + 1 - \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\rho_{n,p}(B) \cap \rho_{n,p}(C)) + O(1) \end{aligned}$$

Now note that  $\rho_{n,p}(B) \cap \rho_{n,p}(C) \subseteq \hat{E}^+(K_{n,p}) \cap \hat{E}^-(K_{n,p})$ . By [12] prop. 4.11,  $\hat{E}^+(K_{n,p}) \cap \hat{E}^-(K_{n,p}) = \hat{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p)$ . Since  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\hat{E}(\mathbb{Q}_p)) = 1$ , it therefore follows from the above that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\rho_{n,p}(A)) = p^n + O(1)$ . This implies that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,p}) \geq p^n + O(1)$  which completes the proof of the proposition.  $\square$

**Lemma 2.4.** *Assume that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$ . For any  $n \geq 0$ , the map  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^\Gamma$  induced by restriction is an injection with finite cokernel.*

*Proof.* Define  $S$  to be the set of primes of  $K$  dividing  $Np$  and  $S_n$  to be the primes of  $K_n$  above those in  $S$ . Now define  $K_S$  to be the maximal extension of  $K$  unramified outside  $S$ ,  $G_S(K) = \text{Gal}(K_S/K)$  and  $G_S(K_n) = \text{Gal}(K_S/K_n)$ . Let  $\mathfrak{p}_1$  and  $\mathfrak{p}_2$  be the primes of  $K_n$  above  $p$ . We define  $\mathcal{P}_p(E/K_n) = \prod_{i=1,2} (H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}_i}, E[p^\infty]) / (E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p))$  and  $\mathcal{P}_*(E/K_n) = \prod_{v \in S_n \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_1, \mathfrak{p}_2\}} H^1(K_{n,v}, E[p^\infty])$ . Similarly we define  $\mathcal{P}_p(E/K)$  and  $\mathcal{P}_*(E/K)$ .

We have a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_S(K_n), E[p^\infty])^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{P}_p(E/K_n)^\Gamma \times \mathcal{P}_*(E/K_n)^\Gamma \\ & & \uparrow s & & \uparrow h & & \uparrow g \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_S(K), E[p^\infty]) & \xrightarrow{\psi} & \mathcal{P}_p(E/K) \times \mathcal{P}_*(E/K) \end{array} \quad (5)$$

Applying the snake lemma to the above diagram we get

$$0 \rightarrow \ker s \rightarrow \ker h \rightarrow \ker g \cap \text{img } \psi \rightarrow \text{coker } s \rightarrow \text{coker } h$$

By [12] lemma 2.1 we have  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = \{0\}$  and so the map  $h$  is an isomorphism. Therefore from the above exact sequence we get that  $s$  is an injection and that  $\text{coker } s = \ker g \cap \text{img } \psi$ . Therefore to complete the proof of the lemma it will suffice to show that  $\ker g$  is finite.

Let  $v$  be a prime of  $K$  that does not divide  $p$  and consider the map  $g_v : H^1(K_v, E)[p^\infty] \rightarrow (\oplus_{w|v} H^1(K_{n,w}, E)[p^\infty])^\Gamma$  where the sum is taken over all primes  $w$  of  $K_n$  above  $v$ . It can be shown by Shapiro's lemma along with the inflation restriction sequence that  $\ker g_v = H^1(\Gamma_w, E)$  where  $\Gamma_w$  is the decomposition group of  $\Gamma$  at a prime  $w$  of  $K_n$  above  $v$ . It follows from [21] proposition I-3.8 that  $H^1(\Gamma_w, E)$  is finite of order  $c_v^{(p)} = p^{\text{ord}_p(c_v)}$ .

To complete the proof it will suffice to show that the restriction map

$$g_{\mathfrak{p}} : \frac{H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \rightarrow \left( \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \right)^\Gamma$$

is injective where  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $K_n$  above  $p$

To prove this, consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & (E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])^\Gamma & \longrightarrow & \left( \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \right)^\Gamma \\ & & \uparrow g'_p & & \uparrow g''_p & & \uparrow g_p \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p & \longrightarrow & H^1(K_{\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (6)$$

Applying the snake lemma to the above diagram we see that to show  $\ker g_p = 0$ , we only need to show that  $\ker g''_p = 0$  and  $\text{coker } g'_p = 0$ . Now  $g'_p$  is an isomorphism so  $\text{coker } g'_p = 0$ . As for  $\ker g''_p$  we have  $\ker g''_p = H^1(\text{Gal}(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}/K_{\mathfrak{p}}), E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty])$ . By [12] lemma 2.1  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty]^\Gamma = E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty] = \{0\}$  so  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty] = \{0\}$ . This shows that  $\ker g''_p = 0$  which completes the proof.  $\square$

We now prove theorem A

**Theorem A.** *Assume that  $p \geq 5$ , all primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and both primes of  $K$  above  $p$  are totally ramified in  $K_\infty/K$ . The following are equivalent*

- (a)  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  has  $\Lambda$ -rank two
- (b) Both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one
- (c)  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)) = p^n + O(1)$  and  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,p}) = p^n + O(1)$
- (d)  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) = 0$
- (e)  $R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  is  $\Lambda$ -torsion

*Proof.* We have that (d) and (e) are equivalent by [19] theorem 2.2.

We now show that (a) and (d) are equivalent. Let  $v$  be a prime of  $K$  above  $p$  and  $w$  a prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $v$ . Since  $v$  ramifies in  $K_\infty/K$ , therefore the extension  $K_{\infty,w}/K_v$  is deeply ramified in the sense of [5]. So as explained in [9] pg. 70 we have  $H^1(K_{\infty,w}, E)[p^\infty] = 0$ . Combining this with [10] prop. 2, it follows that  $\prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(K_{\infty,v}, E)[p^\infty]$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion.



From the definition of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$  it follows that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)) = \text{corank}_\Lambda(H^1(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]))$ . Also Greenberg [10] prop 3 and 4 has shown that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(H^1(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty])) + \text{corank}_\Lambda(H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty])) = 2$  and that  $H^2(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty])$  is a cofree  $\Lambda$ -module. The equivalence of (a) and (d) follows.

Now we show that (a) implies (b). Assume that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)) = 2$ . Taking the direct limit (with respect to restriction) of the first exact sequence in proposition 2.2 we get an exact sequence

$$0 \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty) \oplus \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_\infty) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty) \quad (7)$$

We now show that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion. Let  $\tilde{S}_\infty := S_\infty \setminus \{\mathfrak{p}_\infty, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\infty\}$  where  $\mathfrak{p}_\infty, \bar{\mathfrak{p}}_\infty$  are the primes of  $K_\infty$  above  $p$ .

Now define

$$\mathcal{L}(K_\infty) = \prod_{i=1,2} E(\mathbb{Q}_p) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p \times \prod_{v \in \tilde{S}_\infty} E(K_{\infty,v}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p.$$

and consider the commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccccc} 0 & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & H^1(G_S(K_\infty), E[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & 0 \\ & & \downarrow & & \downarrow & & \\ 0 & \longrightarrow & \mathcal{L}(K_\infty) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(K_{\infty,v}, E[p^\infty]) & \longrightarrow & \prod_{v \in S_\infty} H^1(K_{\infty,v}, E[p^\infty])/\mathcal{L}(K_\infty) \longrightarrow 0 \end{array} \quad (8)$$

Applying the snake lemma to this diagram we get an exact sequence

$$0 \longrightarrow R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty) \longrightarrow \mathcal{L}(K_\infty) \quad (9)$$

For any  $v \in \tilde{S}_\infty$  we have  $E(K_{\infty,v}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = 0$  this is because for any  $n$  by Mattuck's theorem have  $E(K_{n,v}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_l^r \times T$  where  $r$  is some integer,  $T$  is a finite group and  $l \neq p$  is the rational prime below  $v$ . Therefore it follows that  $\mathcal{L}(K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion. Also by the equivalence of (a) and (e) shown above we have that  $R_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion. The exact sequence (9) then shows that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion.

Since  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_\infty)$  is  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion, therefore from the sequence (7) we get that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty) \oplus \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_\infty)) \leq 2$ . We see that (b) will follow if we can show that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)) \geq 1$ . So we get (b) from [15] prop 4.7.

We now show that (b) implies (c). Assume that  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)) = 1$ . First we show  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)) = p^n + O(1)$ . Since  $\text{corank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)) = 1$ , therefore  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_n^\pm=0}) = \deg \omega_n^\pm + O(1)$ . By [12] theorem 6.8 the natural map  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^\pm=0} \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_n^\pm=0}$  has finite kernel and cokernel. Therefore  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^\pm(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^\pm=0}) = \deg \omega_n^\pm + O(1)$  Since  $\deg \omega_n^+ + \deg \omega_n^- = q_n + q_{n-1} = p^n + 1$ , therefore we see by the second exact sequence in prop 2.2 that

in order to show  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)) = p^n + O(1)$ , it will suffice to show that  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^\pm=0})$  is bounded with  $n$ .

Now  $X$  is a greatest common divisor of  $\omega_n^+(X)$  and  $\omega_n^-(X)$  in  $\mathbb{Q}_p[X]$ . It follows that there exist polynomials  $A(X), B(X) \in \mathbb{Z}_p[X]$  such that  $A(X)\omega_n^+(X) + B(X)\omega_n^-(X) = p^m X$  for some integer  $m$ . This shows that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^\pm=0} \subseteq \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{p^m(\gamma-1)=0} (\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{p^m(\gamma-1)=0})$  means the subgroup of  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)$  annihilated by  $p^m(\gamma-1)$ . Therefore it suffices to show that  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{p^m(\gamma-1)=0})$  is bounded with  $n$ . As  $p^m \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^{p^m(\gamma-1)=0} \subseteq \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^\Gamma$  and  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)[p^m] \subseteq \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)[p^m]$  is finite, we only have to show that  $\text{corank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^1(E/K_n)^\Gamma)$  is bounded with  $n$ . This follows from lemma 2.4.

If  $\mathfrak{p}$  is a prime of  $K_\infty$  above  $p$ , proposition 2.3 shows that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \geq p^n + O(1)$ . It follows that  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,p}) \geq p^n + O(1)$ . Since  $\text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(S_p(E/K_n)) \geq \text{rank}_{\mathbb{Z}_p}(\text{img } \rho_{n,p})$  we get equality. Hence we get (c).

Finally (c) implies (d) follows from [2] theorem 3.1. This completes the proof of theorem A.  $\square$

### 3. PROOF OF THEOREM B

In this section we prove theorem B by a similar technique used in the proof of the ordinary case in [17]. We will prove theorem B for  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)$ . The proof for  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_\infty)$  will be similar. We use all the notation and definitions from the introduction and the previous section. Throughout this section we assume the following

- (i) All the primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$
- (ii)  $p$  does not divide  $6h_K\varphi(Nd_K) \cdot \prod_{\ell|N} c_\nu$
- (iii)  $p$  does not divide the number of geometrically connected components of the kernel of  $\pi_* : J_0(N) \rightarrow E$ .

As we just mentioned, theorem B will be proven by adapting the proof of theorem A in [17]. The first important observation is that since  $E$  has good supersingular reduction at  $p$ , therefore  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ -module (see [13] prop 4.4 or [24] prop 12(c)). In [17] we imposed the condition that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . In order to adapt the proof of theorem A in [17] to our setting we will need to show that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  may be replaced by the condition that  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ -module in that paper. We now explain this. First we prove lemma 2.3 in [17]

**Lemma 3.1.** *The extensions  $\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K_\infty/\mathbb{Q}$  are linearly disjoint*

*Proof.*  $\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  are linearly disjoint just as in the proof of lemma 2.3. We now show that  $K(E[p])/K$  and  $K_\infty/K$  are disjoint. If they were not disjoint, then  $G := \text{Gal}(K(E[p])/K) = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$  would be a normal subgroup  $N$  of index  $p$  and hence in particular the order of  $G$  would be divisible by  $p$ . This implies by Dickson's classification of subgroups of  $GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  ([8] sec 260) that  $SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p) \subseteq G$  (the other possibility is that  $G$  is contained in a Borel subgroup. This is ruled out by the fact that  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ -module). Then as in lemma 2.3, we must have that  $N \cap SL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  has both order and index greater than 2. This contradicts that fact that  $PSL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  is simple for  $p \geq 5$ .  $\square$

In [17], we defined  $L_n := K_n(E[p])$  and  $\mathcal{G}_n := \text{Gal}(L_n/K_n)$ . We need to prove proposition 2.6 in [17]

**Proposition 3.2.** *The restriction map induces an isomorphism:*

$$\text{res} : H^1(K_n, E[p]) \xrightarrow{\sim} H^1(L_n, E[p])^{\mathcal{G}_n} = \text{Hom}_{\mathcal{G}_n}(\text{Gal}(\overline{\mathbb{Q}}/L_n), E[p])$$

*Proof.* To prove this proposition we need to show that  $(*) H^i(\mathcal{G}_n, E[p]) = 0$  for  $i = 1, 2$ . By the above lemma, we have  $\mathcal{G}_n = \text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ . When  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ , we can use Serre's proof as in [11] prop. 9.1. In general, when  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -module we note that  $\#\det(\mathcal{G}_n) = \#\chi_{p,\mathbb{Q}}(\mathcal{G}_n) > 2$  (since  $p > 3$ ) where  $\chi_{p,\mathbb{Q}} : G_{\mathbb{Q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p^\times$  is the mod  $p$  cyclotomic character. This implies by [20] prop 5.15 that  $\mathcal{G}_n$  contains a nontrivial homothety. Then one gets  $(*)$  either by adapting Serre's proof or by Sah's lemma (see [20] 5.5.2).  $\square$

Proposition 9.3 in Gross's paper [11] was used in a number of places in [17] (see for example pg. 424). Gross proves this proposition under the assumption that  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$ . Proposition 3.2 above shows that [11] prop. 9.3 holds under the weaker assumption that  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\mathbb{F}_p[G_{\mathbb{Q}}]$ -module.

The above results show that we may indeed replace  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p]), \mathbb{Q}) = GL_2(\mathbb{F}_p)$  in [17] by the condition (which holds here) that  $E[p]$  is an irreducible  $\text{Gal}(\mathbb{Q}(E[p])/\mathbb{Q})$ -module.

Now let  $A$  be a discrete  $\Gamma$ -module annihilated by  $p$ . For any  $n \geq 1$  we have  $\text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}(A^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}) \subseteq A^{\omega_{2n-2}^+ = 0}$ . Using these maps we can form the inverse limit  $\varprojlim A^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$ . We now have the following important proposition

**Proposition 3.3.** *If  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\overline{\Lambda}$ -module, then the module  $M^+ := \varprojlim (M^{\text{dual}})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$  is a free  $\overline{\Lambda}$ -module of same rank as  $M$*

*Proof.* As  $M$  is a finitely generated  $\overline{\Lambda}$ -module and  $\overline{\Lambda}$  is a PID, therefore  $M$  is isomorphic to  $\overline{\Lambda}^r \times T$  for some  $r \geq 0$  and some finite group  $T$ . From this we see that to prove the proposition, we only need to show that (i)  $\varprojlim (T^{\text{dual}})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0} = 0$  and that (ii)  $\varprojlim (\overline{\Lambda}^{\text{dual}})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0} \cong \overline{\Lambda}$

First we show (i). Since  $T^{\text{dual}}$  is a finite discrete  $\Gamma$ -module, there exists  $s \geq 0$  such that  $\Gamma_s$  acts trivially on  $T^{\text{dual}}$ . Then for all  $n \geq s$ ,  $\text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}$  annihilates  $T^{\text{dual}}$ . It follows that  $\varprojlim (T^{\text{dual}})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0} = 0$

We now show (ii). Since  $\overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+$  is a finite group, therefore we have an isomorphism  $(\overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+)^{\text{dual}} \cong \overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+$ . If we choose the isomorphisms appropriately, then we get a commutative diagram where  $\pi_n$  is the canonical projection

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (\overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+)^{\text{dual}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+ \\ \downarrow \text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1} & & \downarrow \pi_n \\ (\overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n-2}^+)^{\text{dual}} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n-2}^+ \end{array}$$

The above diagram shows that

$$\varprojlim (\overline{\Lambda}^{\text{dual}})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0} \cong \varprojlim (\overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+)^{\text{dual}} \cong \varprojlim \overline{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+ \cong \overline{\Lambda}$$

This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Proposition 3.4.** *For any  $n \geq 0$ , the natural map  $H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_n, E[p^\infty])$  induces an isomorphism  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_n) \cong \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n)[p]$*

*Proof.* Let  $\psi_n : \text{Sel}_p(E/K_n) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_n)[p]$  and  $\psi_n'^+ : \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_n) \rightarrow \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n)[p]$  induced from the map  $H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_n, E[p^\infty])$ . By [12] lemma 2.1  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\psi_n$  is an isomorphism. From this and the snake lemma, we get that  $\psi_n'^+$  is an injection and its cokernel is contained in the kernel of the map

$$\psi_{n,p}^+ : \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \bigoplus_{\mathfrak{p}|p} \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

We now show that  $\psi_{n,p}^+$  is an injection. Let  $\mathfrak{p}$  be a prime of  $K_n$  above  $p$ . We need to show that the map

$$\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^+ : \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

is an injection.

Consider the map

$$\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}} : \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} \rightarrow \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}$$

Since  $H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E)[p] \cong H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])/(E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p)$ ,  $H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E)[p^\infty] \cong H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])/(E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p)$  and the inclusion map  $H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E)[p] \rightarrow H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E)[p^\infty]$  is an injection, therefore  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$  is an injection. Now consider the following commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccccc} \frac{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p^\infty])}{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p} \\ \uparrow \psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}'^+ & & \uparrow \psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^+ & & \uparrow \psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}} \\ \frac{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} & \longrightarrow & \frac{H^1(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}, E[p])}{E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{F}_p} \end{array}$$

Since  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}$  is an injection, the above commutative diagram shows that to prove that  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^+$  is an injection, we only need to show that  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}'^+$  is an injection. We have  $E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \otimes \mathbb{Q}_p/\mathbb{Z}_p = \varinjlim E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})/p^m$  where the transition maps in the direct limit are induced by the multiplication-by- $p$  map.

Suppose that  $P + pE(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}}) \in E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})/p$  considered as an element of  $\varinjlim E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})/p^m$  is contained in  $\varinjlim E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})/p^m$ . This implies that there exists  $Q \in E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$  and an  $t \geq 1$  such that  $p^t P - Q \in p^{t+1}E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$  i.e.  $p^t P - Q = p^{t+1}P'$  for some  $P' \in E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$ . This gives  $p^t(P - pP') = Q$ . Let  $S = P - pP'$ . We want to show that  $S \in E(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})^+$ . To this end, let  $m$  be an odd integer with  $1 \leq m \leq n$ . Since  $Q \in E^+(K_{n,\mathfrak{p}})$  we have  $p^t \text{Tr}_{n/m}(S) = \text{Tr}_{n/m}(Q) \in E(K_{m-1,\mathfrak{p}})$ .

Now let  $T = \text{Tr}_{n/m}(S)$ . We need to show that  $T \in E(K_{m-1,\mathfrak{p}})$ . Let  $\sigma$  be a generator of  $\text{Gal}(K_{m,\mathfrak{p}}/K_{m-1,\mathfrak{p}})$ . Then we have  $p^t(\sigma(T) - T) = \sigma(p^t T) - p^t T = 0$  because  $p^t T \in E(K_{m-1,\mathfrak{p}})$ . But by [12] lemma 2.1 we have  $E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty]^\Gamma = E(K_{\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty] = \{0\}$  so  $E(K_{\infty,\mathfrak{p}})[p^\infty] = \{0\}$ . Therefore  $\sigma(T) - T = 0$  which implies that  $T \in E(K_{m-1,\mathfrak{p}})$  as desired. This proves that  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}'^+$  is an injection which as mentioned above proves that  $\psi_{n,\mathfrak{p}}^+$  is also an injection. This completes the proof.  $\square$

**Theorem 3.5.** *For any  $n \geq 0$ , the natural map*

$$\mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^+=0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_n^+=0}$$

*is an isomorphism.*

*Proof.* For any  $n \geq 0$ , let  $s_n : \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_n)^{\omega_n^+=0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_n^+=0}$  be the natural map induced by restriction. Note that we have assumed that  $p$  splits in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and that  $p$  does not divide the class number of  $K$  (which implies that  $K_\infty/K$  is totally ramified at any prime of  $K$  above  $p$ ). These two assumptions allow us to use the results of Iovita and Pollack [12].

By theorem 6.8 of [12]  $s_n$  is an injection with finite cokernel. The proof of this result is based on the proof of [14] theorem 9.3. The proof reveals that the cokernel of  $s_n$  will be trivial if for any prime  $v$  of  $K_n$  not dividing  $p$  the kernel of the restriction map  $g_{n,v} : H^1(K_{n,v}, E)[p^\infty] \rightarrow \bigoplus_{w|v} H^1(K_{\infty,w}, E)[p^\infty]$  is trivial and this is the case since  $p$  was assumed not to divide  $\prod_{v|N} c_v$  (see the remark following [9] lemma 3.3). Therefore  $s_n$  is an isomorphism. The result now follows from proposition 3.4.  $\square$

Now for any  $n$ , we let  $\mathrm{res}_n : H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n+1}, E[p])$  be the restriction map and  $\mathrm{cor}_n : H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n-1}, E[p])$  be the corestriction map. Using the above theorem, we show

**Proposition 3.6.** *For any  $n \geq 1$  and any  $s \in \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$ , there exists  $s' \in \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-2})^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0}$  such that  $\mathrm{cor}_{2n}(s) = \mathrm{res}_{2n-2}(s')$*

*Proof.* Consider the following diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \\ \downarrow \mathrm{cor}_{2n} & & \downarrow \mathrm{Tr}_{2n/2n-1} \\ \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-1}) & \longrightarrow & \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty) \\ \uparrow \mathrm{res}_{2n-2} & & \uparrow \iota_{2n-2} \\ \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-2})^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0} & \xrightarrow{\sim} & \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0} \end{array}$$

In the diagram above the horizontal maps are restriction and the map  $\iota_{2n-2}$  is just the inclusion map. By theorem 3.5 the top and bottom horizontal maps are isomorphisms. This diagram commutes.

For any  $t \in \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  there exists  $t' \in \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0}$  such that  $\mathrm{Tr}_{2n/2n-2}(t) = \iota_{2n-2}(t')$ . Also by [12] lemma 2.1  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = 0$  so the middle horizontal map is an injection. The proposition follows easily from these two facts using a diagram chase.  $\square$

For any  $n \geq 1$ , consider the restriction map  $\mathrm{res}_{2n-2} : \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-2})^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0} \rightarrow \mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-1})$ . By [12] lemma 2.1,  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = 0$  so  $\mathrm{res}_{2n-2}$  is injective. The above proposition shows that  $\mathrm{cor}_{2n}(\mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}) \subseteq \mathrm{img} \mathrm{res}_{2n-2}$  and so if we consider  $\mathrm{res}_{2n-2}$  to be an isomorphism onto its image, therefore we see that  $\mathrm{res}_{2n-2}^{-1} \circ \mathrm{cor}_{2n}$  defines a map from  $\mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  to  $\mathrm{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n-2})^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0}$ . Using these maps, we construct the inverse limit. We now define  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty) :=$

$\varprojlim \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$ . Note that we have chosen to put an “+” in the superscript so that the reader does not confuse this group with the group  $X_p(E/K_\infty)$  in [17] and the groups  $X_{s,p}(E/K_\infty)$  and  $X_{f,p}(E/K_\infty)$  in [18] which were defined in a different way.

We now have the following key theorem

**Theorem 3.7.** *The group  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  is a finitely generated  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module with  $\text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)) = \text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}})$*

*Proof.* By [16] th. 4.5, we know that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  is a finitely generated  $\Lambda$ -module. Since  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = 0$  by [12] lemma 2.1, therefore we have an isomorphism  $\text{Sel}_p(E/K_\infty) \xrightarrow{\sim} \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}(E/K_\infty)[p]$  and so  $\text{Sel}_p(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  is a finitely generated  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module. Then same is true for  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  since  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty) \subseteq \text{Sel}_p(E/K_\infty)$ .

Now consider the group  $Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty) := \varprojlim \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$  defined as in the paragraph proceeding proposition 3.3. Proposition 3.3 shows that  $Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  is a finitely generated free  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module with  $\text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)) = \text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}})$ . Therefore to complete the proof, we only have to show that  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  and  $Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  are isomorphic.

Let  $n \geq 1$ . The transition map from  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$  to  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+ = 0}$  in  $Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  is  $\text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}$ . Let  $\iota_{2n-2} : \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+ = 0} \hookrightarrow \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)$  be the inclusion map. One sees that  $\text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}) \subseteq \text{img } \iota_{2n-2}$  and so by considering  $\iota_{2n-2}$  to be an isomorphism onto its image, we may write the transition maps defining the inverse limit  $Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  as  $\iota_{2n-2}^{-1} \circ \text{Tr}_{2n/2n-1}$ . This shows that the restriction maps induce a map  $\Xi : X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty) \rightarrow Y_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  and it follows from theorem 3.5 that this map is an isomorphism. This completes the proof.  $\square$

As in [17], we call a rational prime  $\ell$  is called a *Kolyvagin prime* if  $\ell$  is relatively prime to  $Nd$  and  $\text{Frob}_\ell(K(E[p])/\mathbb{Q}) = [\tau]$  where  $\tau$  is a fixed complex conjugation on  $\bar{\mathbb{Q}}$  (the algebraic closure of  $\mathbb{Q}$ ).

If  $\ell$  is a rational prime and  $F$  is a number field we define

$$\begin{aligned} E(F_\ell)/p &:= \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} E(F_\lambda)/p \\ H^1(F_\ell, E[p]) &:= \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} H^1(F_\lambda, E[p]) \\ H^1(F_\ell, E)[p] &:= \bigoplus_{\lambda|\ell} H^1(F_\lambda, E)[p] \end{aligned}$$

where the sum is taken over all primes of  $F$  dividing  $\ell$ .

With this notation we let  $\text{res}_\ell$  be the localization map:

$$\begin{aligned} \text{res}_\ell : E(F)/p &\rightarrow E(F_\ell)/p \\ \text{res}_\ell : H^1(F, E[p]) &\rightarrow H^1(F_\ell, E[p]) \\ \text{res}_\ell : H^1(F, E)[p] &\rightarrow H^1(F_\ell, E)[p] \end{aligned}$$

Now let  $\ell$  be a Kolyvagin prime. For any  $n$ , local Tate duality gives a non-degenerate pairing (see [11] prop. 7.5)

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_\ell : E(K_{2n,\ell})/p \times H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p] \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \quad (10)$$

This induces a non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle'_\ell : (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)/\omega_{2n}^+ \times H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \quad (11)$$

Now as  $\ell$  is inert in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$  and  $\ell \neq p$ , it follows that  $\ell$  splits completely in the anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extension  $K_\infty/K$ . We have  $E(K_{n,\ell})/p = \bigoplus_{\lambda_n|\ell} E(K_{n,\lambda_n})/p$ . For any  $\lambda_n|\ell$  we have by Mattuck's theorem that  $E(K_{n,\lambda_n}) \cong \mathbb{Z}_\ell^2 \times T$  where  $T$  is a finite group. This together with the fact that  $\ell$  splits in  $K(E[p])/K$  implies that  $E(K_{n,\lambda_n})/p = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Thus we have an isomorphism

$$E(K_{n,\ell})/p \cong R_n \times R_n \quad (12)$$

The above isomorphism shows that multiplication by  $\tilde{\omega}_{2n}^-$  induces an isomorphism  $\theta : (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)/\omega_{2n}^+ \xrightarrow{\sim} \tilde{\omega}_{2n}^- E(K_{2n,\ell})/p = (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$ . Thus we have a non-degenerate pairing

$$\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell : (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \times H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \rightarrow \mathbb{F}_p \quad (13)$$

defined by the relation  $\langle a, b \rangle'_\ell = \langle \theta(a), b \rangle_\ell$ .

Now let  $\text{res}_n : H^1(K_{n,\ell}, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n+1,\ell}, E[p])$  and  $\text{cor}_n : H^1(K_{n,\ell}, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n-1,\ell}, E[p])$  be the restriction and corestriction maps, respectively. We will also let  $\text{res}_n$  and  $\text{cor}_n$  denote these maps on  $E(K_{n,\ell})/p$  and  $H^1(K_{n,\ell}, E)[p]$ . Noting that  $\tilde{\omega}_m^+ = \tilde{\omega}_{m-1}^+$  and  $\omega_m^+ = \omega_{m-1}^+$  when  $m$  is odd and  $\tilde{\omega}_m^- = \tilde{\omega}_{m-1}^-$  and  $\omega_m^- = \omega_{m-1}^-$  when  $m$  is even, we get a commutative diagram

$$\begin{array}{ccc} (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)/\omega_{2n}^+ & \xrightarrow{\times \tilde{\omega}_{2n}^-} & (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \\ \text{cor}_{2n} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{cor}_{2n} \\ (E(K_{2n-1,\ell})/p)/\omega_{2n-2}^+ & \xrightarrow{\times \tilde{\omega}_{2n-1}^-} & (E(K_{2n-1,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0} \\ \text{cor}_{2n-1} \downarrow & & \downarrow \text{res}_{2n-2}^{-1} \\ (E(K_{2n-2,\ell})/p)/\omega_{2n-2}^+ & \xrightarrow{\times \tilde{\omega}_{2n-2}^-} & (E(K_{2n-2,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0} \end{array} \quad (14)$$

Let  $\varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  be the direct limit with transition maps being restriction and  $\varprojlim (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  be the inverse limit with transition maps  $\text{res}_{2n-2}^{-1} \circ \text{cor}_{2n} : (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \rightarrow (E(K_{2n-2,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n-2}^+=0}$ .

A property of Tate local duality gives that  $\langle \text{res}_n(a), b \rangle'_\ell = \langle a, \text{cor}_{n+1}(b) \rangle'_\ell$ . Taking this and the above commutative diagram into account, we see that the pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$  induces an isomorphism

$$\varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \cong (\varprojlim (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0})^{\text{dual}} \quad (15)$$

Let  $n \geq 0$  be an integer and  $\ell$  a Kolyvagin prime. By the definition of  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})$ , we have  $\text{res}_\ell(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})) \subseteq E(K_{2n,\ell})/p$  and so  $\text{res}_\ell(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}) \subseteq (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$ .

The definitions of  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$  and  $\varprojlim (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  show that the transition maps of these groups are compatible with the maps  $\text{res}_\ell$  and therefore the maps  $\text{res}_\ell$  induce a map

$$\text{res}_\ell : X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty) \rightarrow \varprojlim (E(K_{2n,\ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \quad (16)$$

Dualizing this map and using the isomorphism (15) above we get a map

$$\psi_\ell : \varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \rightarrow X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$$

We now follow the proof of the ordinary case in [17] carefully making the necessary adjustments to suit our setting. First we prove the analog of [17] prop. 2.5. We remark that there is a mistake in the proof of [17]: In the last line of the proof the  $\mathbb{F}_p$ -dimension should be  $2 + c$  rather than  $2p + c$ .

**Proposition 3.8.** *If  $\ell$  is a Kolyvagin prime, then  $\varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  is a cofree  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module of rank two*

*Proof.* Let  $Z := \varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$ . As in the proof of [17] prop. 2.5, we have  $Z^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} = H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  and for any  $\lambda_{2n}|\ell$  we have  $H^1(K_{2n,\lambda_{2n}}, E)[p] = \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z} \times \mathbb{Z}/p\mathbb{Z}$ . Therefore it follows that  $H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E[p]) \cong R_{2n} \times R_{2n}$ . Just as we observed after (12), we have  $R_{2n}^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \cong R_{2n}/\omega_{2n}^+$ . Summing up, we get  $Z^{\omega_{2n}^+=0} \cong R_{2n}/\omega_{2n}^+ \times R_{2n}/\omega_{2n}^+ \cong \bar{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+ \times \bar{\Lambda}/\omega_{2n}^+$ . The proposition follows from this.  $\square$

Let  $g$  be a topological generator of  $\Gamma$ . Since  $X^{p^{n-1}(p-1)}\Phi_n(X^{-1}) = \Phi_n(X)$  and  $\tau g \tau = g^{-1}$ , therefore it easily follows from this and the fact that  $\tau$  acts on  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})$  that  $\tau$  acts on  $\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  and hence also on  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)$ .

We define the sets and define the sets,  $U$ ,  $V$  and  $\mathcal{L}(U)$  in the same way as in section 2 of [17]. Then as in [17] prop. 2.8 we get

**Proposition 3.9.** *If  $U^+$  generates  $V^+$ , then  $\text{img } \psi_\ell$  with  $\ell$  ranging over  $\mathcal{L}(U)$  generate  $X_p^\dagger(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$*

Also, using our modified pairing  $\langle \cdot, \cdot \rangle_\ell$  we get as in [17] prop. 2.9 that

**Proposition 3.10.** *For any  $n$ , if  $s \in \text{Sel}_p(E/K_{2n})^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$  and  $\gamma \in H^1(K_n, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^+=0}$ , then*

$$\sum_\ell \langle \text{res}_\ell s, \text{res}_\ell \gamma \rangle_\ell = 0$$

where the sum is taken over all the rational primes

Let  $r$  be a squarefree product of Kolyvagin primes. We define Kolyvagin classes  $c_n(r) \in H^1(K_n, E[p])$  and  $d_n(r) \in H^1(K_n, E)[p]$  as in section 2.2 of [17]. We need

**Proposition 3.11.** *Let  $n \geq 0$  and  $r$  a squarefree product of Kolyvagin prime. Let  $\text{res}_n : H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_{n+1}, E[p])$  be the restriction map. Then we have*

$$(a) \quad \text{Tr}_{1/0}(c_1(r)) = \text{res}_0\left(\frac{p-1}{2}c_0(r)\right) \\ \text{Tr}_{n+1/n}(c_{n+1}(r)) = -\text{res}_{n-1}(c_{n-1}(r)) \quad \text{for } n \geq 1$$



- (b)  $\omega_{2n}^+ c_{2n}(r) = 0$   
 (c)  $\omega_{2n+1}^+ c_{2n+1}(r) = 0$

*Proof.* If  $K[r]$  is the ring class field of  $K$  of conductor  $r$ , we defined in [17] section 2.2  $K_n[r]$  to be  $K_n K[r]$  and defined a Heegner point  $\alpha_n(r) \in K_n(r)$ . From section 3 of [23] one sees that the points  $\alpha_n(r)$  satisfy identical norm relations to (3) and (4) which were shown for the points  $\alpha_n$ . Therefore (a) follows from the definition of  $c_n(r)$  and diagram (3) in [17] section 2.2. (b) and (c) follow from (a) as in lemma 2.1.  $\square$

Let  $R_n \alpha_n$  denote the  $R_n$ -submodule of  $H^1(K_n, E[p])$  generated by the image of  $\alpha_n$  under the Kummer map

$$E(K_n) \rightarrow H^1(K_n, E[p]).$$

By [12] lemma 2.1 we have  $E(K_\infty)[p^\infty] = \{0\}$ . This implies that the restriction map for  $m \geq n$

$$H^1(K_n, E[p]) \rightarrow H^1(K_m, E[p])$$

is injective and therefore allows us to view  $R_n \alpha_n$  as a submodule of  $H^1(K_m, E[p])$ .

The norm relation (4) in section 2 shows that  $R_{2n} \alpha_{2n} \subseteq R_{2n+2} \alpha_{2n+2}$  and so we may form the direct limit  $\varinjlim R_{2n} \alpha_{2n}$ . From [17] theorem 4.1 we get

**Theorem 3.12.** *The  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module  $(\varinjlim R_{2n} \alpha_{2n})^{\text{dual}}$  is finitely generated and not torsion*

Then as in [17] section 3, the above theorem implies that there exists a nonzero map

$$\phi : \bar{\Lambda}^{\text{dual}} \rightarrow \varinjlim R_n \alpha_n$$

and one chooses an auxiliary prime  $\ell_1$  and this map to show

**Proposition 3.13.** *As a  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module  $(\varinjlim R_{2n} c_{2n}(\ell_1))^{\text{dual}}$  is finitely generated and not torsion*

Note that  $R_{2n} c_{2n}(\ell_1) \subseteq R_{2n+2} c_{2n+2}(\ell_1)$  by proposition 3.11. As in [17] section 3, one chooses  $s \in \varinjlim R_{2n} \alpha_{2n}$  and  $s' \in \varinjlim R_{2n} c_{2n}(\ell_1)$  and proves as in [17] prop. 3.3 that  $s$  and  $s'$  viewed as elements of  $H^1(K_\infty, E[p])$  are linearly independent over  $\mathbb{F}_p$ . Then one defines the set  $S_{n_0} \subset H^1(K_{n_0}, E[p])$  and the set  $U$  in the same way as in [17]. If  $\ell \neq \ell_1$  is a Kolyvagin prime, then it follows from lemma 2.1 and proposition 3.11 that  $\text{res}_\ell(R_{2n} \alpha_{2n}) \subseteq (E(K_{2n, \ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$  and  $\text{res}_\ell(R_{2n} c_{2n}(\ell_1)) \subseteq (E(K_{2n, \ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n}^+ = 0}$ . Then by the same proof of [17] prop. 3.4, we get

**Proposition 3.14.** *For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  the submodules  $\varinjlim \text{res}_\ell R_{2n} \alpha_{2n}$  and  $\varinjlim \text{res}_\ell R_{2n} c_{2n}(\ell_1)$  of  $\varinjlim (E(K_{2n, \ell})/p)^{\omega_{2n} = 0}$  each have  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -corank greater or equal to one and together they generate a submodule of  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -corank equal to two*

Using property (3) of the Kolyvagin classes in section 2.2 of [17], the same proof of [17] corollary 3.5 gives

**Corollary 3.15.** *For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(U)$  the submodules  $\varinjlim \text{res}_\ell R_{2n}d_{2n}(\ell)$  and  $\varinjlim \text{res}_\ell R_{2n}d_{2n}(\ell\ell_1)$  of  $\varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^{\pm}=0}$  each have  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -corank greater or equal to one and together they generate  $\varinjlim H^1(K_{2n,\ell}, E)[p]^{\omega_{2n}^{\pm}=0}$*

Using proposition 3.10 together with property (2) of the Kolyvagin classes in section 2.2 of [17], one proves the analog of [17] prop. 3.6 by the same way

**Proposition 3.16.** *For any  $\ell \in \mathcal{L}(U)$ ,  $\text{img } \psi_\ell$  is a cofree  $\bar{\Lambda}$ -module and  $\text{img } \psi_\ell = \psi_\ell(\varinjlim \text{res}_\ell R_{2n}d_{2n}(\ell\ell_1))$*

Then in an identical way to [17] prop. 3.7, one proves

**Proposition 3.17.** *We have  $\text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(X_p^{\dagger}(E/K_\infty)) \leq 1$*

We can now finally prove theorem B

**Theorem B.** *Assume the following*

- (i) *All the primes dividing  $pN$  split in  $K/\mathbb{Q}$*
- (ii)  *$p$  does not divide  $6h_K\varphi(Nd_K) \cdot \prod_{\ell|N} c_v$*
- (iii)  *$p$  does not divide the number of geometrically connected components of the kernel of  $\pi_* : J_0(N) \rightarrow E$ .*

*Then both  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^{\pm}(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$  have  $\Lambda$ -rank one and  $\mu$ -invariant zero*

*Proof.* By proposition 3.4 it follows that  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)[p] \cong \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)$ . Therefore if  $X := \text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$ , then  $X/p \cong \text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}$ . We see from this that to prove the theorem we only have to show that (i)  $\text{rank}_\Lambda(\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}) \geq 1$  and that (ii)  $\text{rank}_{\bar{\Lambda}}(\text{Sel}_p^+(E/K_\infty)^{\text{dual}}) \leq 1$ . (i) follows from [15] prop. 4.7 and (ii) follows from the previous proposition together with theorem 3.7. This proves theorem B for  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^+(E/K_\infty)$ . The proof for  $\text{Sel}_{p^\infty}^-(E/K_\infty)$  is similar.  $\square$

## REFERENCES

- [1] M. Bertolini, *Selmer groups and Heegner points in anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions*, Compositio Math. **99** (1995), 153-182
- [2] M. Bertolini *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves over imaginary quadratic fields*, Journal de th orie des nombres de Bordeaux **13**(1) (2001), 1-25
- [3] C. Breuil, B. Conrad, F. Diamond, R. Taylor, *On the modularity of elliptic curves over  $\mathbb{Q}$ : Wild 3-adic exercises*, J. Amer. Math. Soc. **14** (2001), 843-939.
- [4] M. C iperiani, *Tate-Shafarevich groups in anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions at supersingular primes*, Compositio Math. **145** (2009), 293-308
- [5] J. Coates, R. Greenberg, *Kummer Theory for Abelian Varieties over Local Fields*, Invent. Math., **124** (1996), 129-174.
- [6] C. Cornut, *Mazur's conjecture on higher Heegner points*, Invent. Math. **148** (2002), 495-523
- [7] C. Cornut, V. Vatsal, *CM points and quaternion algebras*, Doc. Math **10** (2005), 263-309
- [8] L. Dickson, *Linear groups: With an exposition of the Galois field theory*, Dover, New York, 1958.
- [9] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves*. Lecture Notes in Math. 1716, Springer, New York 1999, pp.51-144.
- [10] R. Greenberg, *Iwasawa theory for p-adic representations*, in Algebraic number theory, 97-137, Adv. Stud. Pure Math., 17, Academic Press, Boston, MA, 1989.
- [11] B. Gross, *Kolyvagin's work on modular elliptic curves*, L-functions and arithmetic, 235-256, London Math. Soc. Lecture Series, **153**, 1989.
- [12] A. Iovita, R. Pollack, *Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves at supersingular primes over  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions of number fields*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **598** (2006), 71-103

- [13] B.D. Kim, *The parity conjecture for elliptic curves at supersingular reduction primes*, Compositio Math. **143** (2007) 47–72.
- [14] S. Kobayashi, *Iwasawa theory for elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Invent. Math. **152** (2003), 1-36
- [15] M. Longo, S. Vigni, *Plus/Minus Heegner points and Iwasawa theory of elliptic curves at supersingular primes*, Bollettino dell’Unione Matematica Italiana, Vol 12, No. 3 (2019), 315-347.
- [16] Y.I. Manin, *Cyclotomic fields and modular curves*. Russian Math. Surveys **26**(6) 1971, 7-78.
- [17] A. Matar, *Selmer groups and anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions*, Math. Proc. Camb. Phil. Soc. **161**(3) (2016), 409-433
- [18] A. Matar, *Fine Selmer Groups, Heegner points and Anticyclotomic  $\mathbb{Z}_p$ -extensions*, International Journal of Number Theory, Vol 14, No. 5 (2018), 1279-1304.
- [19] A. Matar, *On the  $\Lambda$ -cotorsion subgroup of the Selmer group*, <https://arxiv.org/abs/1812.00207> to appear in Asian Journal of Mathematics
- [20] A. Matar, J. Nekovář, *Kolyvagin’s result on the vanishing of  $\text{III}(E/K)[p^\infty]$  and its consequences for anticyclotomic Iwasawa theory*, J. Théorie des Nombres de Bordeaux **31**(2) 2019, 455-501
- [21] J.S. Milne, *Arithmetic Duality Theorems*, second ed., BookSurge, LLC, Charleston, SC, 2006.
- [22] J. Nekovář, *Selmer complexes*, Astérisque 310 (2006), Soc. Math. de France, Paris.
- [23] B. Perrin-Riou, *Fonctions  $L$   $p$ -adiques, théorie d’Iwasawa et points de Heegner*, Bull. Soc. Math. France **115** (1987), 399-456
- [24] J.-P. Serre, *Propriétés galoisiennes des points d’ordre fini des courbes elliptiques*, Invent. Math. **15** (1972), no. 4, 259–331
- [25] J. Silverman, *The Arithmetic of Elliptic Curves*, Grad. Texts in Math. **106**, Springer-Verlag (1986)
- [26] A. Wiles, *Modular elliptic curves and Fermat’s last theorem*, Ann. of Math. **141** (2) (1995), 443-551.